## Application No. Applicant(s) MATSUOKA ET AL. 10/814,143 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Tarifur R. Chowdhury 2871 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Tarifur R. Chowdhury. (3)*Mr. lida*. (2) Mr. Robert Downs. (4)Mr. Iwakiri. Date of Interview: 09 August 2006. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11. Identification of prior art discussed: Warashina. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative argued that Warashina does not read on all the claimed invention and is actually using different method of making the color filter than claimed such as Warashina using sputtering method instead of bonding method as claimed. Applicant's representative also argued that examiners' position regrading the obviousness was not clear and not supported by any reference. It was notified to applicant's representative that the examiner will further look into the reference and the statement regarding the "common and known in the art" to further analyze the claimed invention.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form					
Application No.: 10/814, 143 First Named Applicant: Matsuo La Examiner: Choudhury Art Unit: 287/ Status of Application: Non-final					
Tentative Participants: (1) T. Chowdhury (2) Robert Dogns #48, 222 (3) Mr. Iida (4) Mr. Iwakisi					
Proposed Date of Interview: Aug. 9, 2006 Proposed Time: 12 (AMPM)					
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [ ] Telephonic (2) [ Personal (3) [ ] Video Conference					
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [ ] YES [L] NO  If yes, provide brief description:					
Issues To Be Discussed					
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej. 103	1,11	Art Warashina Sakamoto	[]	[]	[]
(2) Rej. 167	2-4	Sakamoto	[ ]	[]	[ ]
(3)			[]	[]	[]
(4) [ ] Continuation Shee	et Attached		[]	[]	[]
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:					
Page Fig.	10 . 0	.f origina	l spe	cifica	Fron
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.					
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature					
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative					
Registration	Number, if appl	licable			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.